

# 8101 - Recitation III: Revealed preferences and Monotone comparative statics

## Exercise 1

1. Show that if a rational preference relation  $\succeq$  is continuous and locally non-satiated then  $p \cdot x^*(p, w) = w$ , for all  $x^*(p, w)$  that belong to the Walrasian Demand correspondence.
2. Consider Walrasian demand function  $x^*(p, w)$  assumed single valued - for  $p \gg 0$  and  $w \geq 0$  generated by a continuous and locally nonsatiated utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . State and prove the Law of Compensated Demand for  $x^*$ .

## Exercise 2:

Consider a list of observations  $\{(p_1, x_1), \dots, (p_T, x_T)\}$  where  $p_t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$  and  $x_t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$  are price vector and a corresponding consumption plan of a consumer respectively, for every  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$ .

1. State the Generalized Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference (GWARP) and Generalized (strong) Axiom of Revealed Preference (GARP) for these observations.
2. Show that if a locally non-satiated utility function rationalized observations then GWARP holds.
3. Suppose that the observations are generated by a demand function  $d(p, w)$  that is  $x_t = d(p_t, w_t)$  for every  $t$ . Function  $d$  is given as

$$d(p, w) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{w}{p_1}, 0\right) & \text{if } p_1 \geq p_2 \\ \left(\frac{w}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{w}{p_1 + p_2}\right) & \text{if } p_2 > p_1 \end{cases}$$

Does GWARP hold for arbitrary observations generated by  $d$ ? Can demand  $d$  be rationalized by a locally non-satiated utility function?

## Exercise 3:

Consider the problem of finding a Pareto optimal allocation of aggregate resources  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  in an economy with two agents:

$$\max_x \mu_1 u_1(x) + \mu_2 u_2(\omega - x)$$

subject to  $x \leq \omega, x \geq 0$  where  $u_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are agents' utility functions (assumed continuous) and  $\mu_i > 0$  are welfare weights for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $x^*(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  be the set of solutions.

1. Show that if  $u_i$  is supermodular, then  $u_i(\omega - x)$  is supermodular in  $x$ .
2. Show that, if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are strictly increasing and supermodular in  $x$ , then  $x^*(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is non-decreasing in  $\mu_1$ . You may assume that  $x^*(\mu)$  is single-valued. Is  $x^*(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  non-increasing in  $\mu_2$ ? Justify your answer.
3. Under what conditions on  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  is the solution  $x^*(\mu)$  unique. Justify.